



# Pharmaceutical Manufacturer Perspective - GPhA

---

## Residual Solvents—Implementation of USP Requirements

PDA-USP Educational Conference  
January 18-19, 2007



John Kovaleski  
Teva Pharmaceuticals USA





# Common Goal

---

Safe and effective products!!!

***" Since there is no therapeutic benefit from residual solvents, all residual solvents should be removed to the extent possible to meet product specifications, good manufacturing practices, or other quality-based requirements" \****

\* "ICH Q3C – Impurities: Guideline for Residual Solvents"



# Purpose of Guideline

---

- Recommend acceptable Residual Solvent use for:
  - API's
  - Excipients
  - Dosage Forms
- Recommend Residual Solvent levels for:
  - Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms
    - PDE (permitted daily exposure)
    - Acceptable limit depends on solvent classification



# Practical Approach

---

- Residual solvents cannot be completely eliminated from products.
- Manufacturers need to ensure the benefits of our products outweigh the risks of having solvents present.
  - Risk Based Approach – cGMP's for 21<sup>st</sup> Century
- How can industry, FDA, and USP work together to accomplish this?



# Remove Residual Solvent Requirement from Monographs

---

- Patient receives final dosage form only.
- Interpretation by industry that if test exists in monograph, a laboratory result must be generated.
  - Overly burdensome testing may result
  - Additional methods/validation submitted to FDA
- Will suppliers comply or remove USP/NF labeling?

USP has retracted this requirement until further comment/review is completed.



## Maintain Compliance via General Notices and General Chapter

---



- Safe products.
  
- Responsibility of manufacturer to determine best way to comply.
  - No need to test if solvents are not “likely to be present”
  - Important for suppliers to disclose solvents
  - Quantitative or Limit test
  - Consistent with risk-based approach

Changes proposed to <467> in Sept/Oct 2006  
Pharmacopeial Forum are consistent with this approach.



# Exempt Material

---

- Dosage Forms
  - Not all are ingested or injected
  - Total Daily Intake for Topicals
  
- Excipients
  - Compounds that have long standing safe and effective use outside of pharmaceutical industry
  - Dextrose, NaCl, Mannitol



# Communication

---

- Necessary to have clear understanding of all parties as to requirements and expectations.
- Recommend USP stress that testing is not needed if knowledge exists that solvents are not present.



# Reality Check

---

- Office of Generic Drugs states that residual solvents questions are one of the leading deficiency comments in ANDA's.

## Informal survey of GPhA:

- ~50% of ANDA's received at least one deficiency letter including a comment regarding residual solvents.
- Nearly all of these products followed ICH guidance requirements at initial submission.
- Why the disparity?



# Discussion Points

---

- Are ICH levels acceptable “as is” or are they “a starting point”?
- Are Limit Tests acceptable or must every test be Quantitative?
- Is Loss on Drying acceptable for Class 3 solvents?
- How will FDA apply and implement USP requirements going forward?
  - Approved applications
  - Products in development



# Acknowledgments

---

- GPhA USP Working Group:

Ed Cohen

Marjorie Coppinger

Mike Cutrera

Derek Glover

Gordon Johnston

Elisabeth Kovacs

Lisa Kukuzke

F. Scott Lyon

Kevin Maziarz

Donald Miltz

Sue Schniepp

Rick Shanholtz

Steve Sutherland

Ellen Tonn

Yimin Wei

Tong Xuan



U.S. PHARMACOPEIA  
The Standard of Quality<sup>SM</sup>

*Thank You*

